

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING I	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/912,078	07/24/2	2001	Richard J. Bacon	19059.010	3350	
28286	7590	01/21/2003				
IP PATENT	-		EXAMINER			
FAEGRE & BENSON LLP 1900 FIFTEENTH STREET				SEMUNEGUS, LULIT		
BOULDER, CO 80302				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3641	-	
				DATE MAILED: 01/21/2002	DATE MAILED: 01/21/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Offic Action Summary		09/912,078	BACON, RICHARD J.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Lulit Semunegus	3641				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM							
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	- () Start and 40.4	Marramahan 2002					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 181						
2a)□	· —	is action is non-final.	recognition as to the morite is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-46 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
· 							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachment(s)							
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
10 0000 10017							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/912,078

Art Unit: 3641

ODETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1, 3-10 and 34-45, drawn to Jet Aircraft configuration, classified in class 244, subclass 55.
- II. Claims 2, 11-33 and 46 drawn to a method of operation for jet engines, classified in class 60, subclass 204.

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the first and second jet engines can have the same maximum thrust with no differential created by setting different power.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Response to Arguments

2. In light of applicant's argument filed on 11/18/2002, examiner is unclear to what is being claimed therefore has rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a first and second jet engines having maximum thrust, does not reasonably provide enablement for both engines to be

Application/Control Number: 09/912,078

Art Unit: 3641

equally powered jet engines when one of the first and second jet engines have a maximum thrust greater than the maximum thrust of the other.

Applicant needs to clarify how when a first and second jet engines that are equally powered jet engines at maximum thrust (meaning both are at same thrust since they are equally powered) have one of its engines at a maximum thrust greater than the maximum thrust of the other (e.g. claim 1, paragraph e).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification, while being enabling for a first and second jet engines to have maximum thrust in equally powered jet engines, it does not reasonably provide enablement for one of the first and second jet engines having a maximum thrust greater than the maximum thrust of the other.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/912,078

•••

Art Unit: 3641

The term "one of said first and second jet engines having a maximum thrust greater than the maximum thrust of the other of said first and second jet engines, said thrust differential created by a different power setting on one of two otherwise equally powered jet engines" and other similar limitations on the dependent claims render the claims indefinite. It is unclear how an equally powered engines at their maximum thrusts have one of its engines at a maximum thrust greater than the maximum thrust of the other.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lulit Semunegus whose telephone number is (703) 306-5960. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone can be reached on (703) 306-4198. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE